

The Journal of the American Academy of Psychiatry and the Law

Copy of e-mail notification

zba3352

Your article (# 3352) from The Journal of the American Academy of Psychiatry and the Law is available for download.

Dear Author:

The proofs of your article for The Journal of the American Academy of Psychiatry and the Law are available for your review. Please download the file located at this URL address:
<http://rapidproof.cadmus.com/RapidProof/retrieval/index.jsp>

Login: [your e-mail address]

Password: 99yuxffEk9Hi

You will need to have Adobe Acrobat Reader software to read this file. This is free software and is available for user downloading at <http://www.adobe.com/products/acrobat/readstep.html>.

If you experience technical problems, please contact Pam Hinton
(e-mail: hintonp@cadmus.com; phone: 804-515-5132)

This file contains:

- Instructions to Author
- Reprint Order Form
- Page Proofs for your article and author queries - containing 6 pages

Please print the PDF file and follow enclosed instructions. You will need to return all materials within 48 hours to the address given. (NOTE: Proofs retained by the author for an excessive length of time will be delayed for publication.)

If you have any questions regarding your article, please contact me.

Marla Kipp
Journal Manager
Cenveo Publisher Services
300 West Chestnut Street
Suite A
Ephrata, PA 17522
Tel: 717-738-9302
Fax: 717-738-9479
Marla.Kipp@cenveo.com
www.cenveo.com

Journal of the American Academy of Psychiatry and the Law

Dear Author:

Enclosed are page proofs for your manuscript to be published in *The Journal of the American Academy of Psychiatry and the Law*.

- Download the proofs.
- Read the proofs carefully. **MAKE SURE THAT YOU REVIEW EVERY SINGLE LINE.**
- Proofread all tables and equations.
- Check that all Greek or special characters translated correctly.
- Answer all author queries (AQ1, AQ2, etc.) listed on the last page of the article.
- Insert your comments electronically (instructions enclosed), or print the PDF and add your comments/revisions manually. You may also list your changes in an email. Follow the enclosed instructions for e-mailing, faxing, or mailing your corrections.

Within 48 hours, please return corrected page proofs by e-mail, fax, or express mail/overnight shipping to my attention:

Cathy Frey, Issue Manager
Cenveo Publisher Services
300 W. Chestnut Street, Suite A
Ephrata, PA 17522-1987
USA

cathy.frey@cenveo.com
Phone: (717) 721-2616
Fax: 717-738-9479 or 717-738-9478

REPRINT ORDERS: Follow the instructions on the enclosed reprint order form. Fax or mail it under separate cover to the Philadelphia, PA address listed on the form.

Please note that the publication of your article may be delayed if you do not follow the above procedures.

Contact me if you have any questions.

Cathy Frey

HOW TO ANNOTATE YOUR PDF

The PDF provided has been “comment enabled,” which allows you to use the Comments and Notes features in Adobe Acrobat Reader (download Adobe Acrobat Reader version 7 or higher for free at <http://get.adobe.com/reader/>). You may use these features when making your corrections.

When you open your PDF, the Comments, Notes, and Edit tools are shown clearly on the tool bar. The following basic features can be used to correct your article:



* **Sticky Note Tool**

Click Sticky Note Tool.

Click text where you wish to insert text, such as a comment, correction, or note to the Issue Manager.

Type comment, correction, or note.



* **Text Edits Tool**

Click Text Edits Tool.

Click the spot where you wish to insert or delete text.

Type text to be inserted, or delete unwanted text.



* **Highlight Text Tool**

Click Highlight Text Tool.

Click and drag the cursor over the text you wish to highlight.

Highlight Text is useful when paired with a Sticky Note about global changes (for example, “All highlighted text should be set in Italics.”)

To view your comments at the bottom of your screen, Click Comments in the tool bar and choose “Show Comments List.” Adobe Acrobat Reader’s Help menu provides additional details about the Comments and Notes tools. As with manually annotated proof revisions, it is important to communicate your changes clearly and completely and to answer all queries.

If you do not wish to make any changes to the proofs, please write “No corrections” on the first page of the article and email, fax, or mail it to the Issue Manager.

Journal of the American Academy of Psychiatry & the Law 2012

This is your reprint order form or pro forma invoice

(Please keep a copy of this document for your records.)

Reprint order forms and purchase orders or prepayments must be received 72 hours after receipt of form either by mail or by fax at 877-705-1373. It is the policy of Cadmus Reprints to issue one invoice per order.

Please print clearly. Please return this form whether reprints are ordered or not.

Author Name J. Reid Meloy
Title of Article Commentary: Stalking by Patients Psychiatrists Tales of Anger, Lust and Ignorance
Issue of Journal _____ Reprint # 3832433 Manuscript # 3352 Publication Date _____
Number of Pages 6 Color in Article? Yes / No (Please Circle) Symbol JAAPL
Please include the journal name and reprint number or manuscript number on your purchase order or other correspondence.

Order and Shipping Information

Reprint Costs (Please see page 2 of 2 for reprint costs/fees.)

_____ Number of reprints ordered \$ _____

_____ Number of color reprints ordered \$ _____

Subtotal \$ _____

Taxes \$ _____

(Add appropriate sales tax for Virginia, Maryland, Pennsylvania, and the District of Columbia or Canadian GST to the reprints if your order is to be shipped to these locations.)

First address included, add \$32 for each additional shipping address \$ _____

Total Amount Due \$ _____

Shipping Address (cannot ship to a P.O. Box) Please Print Clearly

Name _____

Institution _____

Street _____

City _____ State _____ Zip _____

Country _____

Quantity _____ Fax _____

Phone: Day _____ Evening _____

E-mail Address _____

Additional Shipping Address* (cannot ship to a P.O. Box)

Name _____

Institution _____

Street _____

City _____ State _____ Zip _____

Country _____

Quantity _____ Fax _____

Phone: Day _____ Evening _____

E-mail Address _____

* Add \$32 for each additional shipping address

Payment and Credit Card Details

Enclosed: Personal Check _____

Institutional Purchase Order _____

Credit Card Payment Details _____

Checks must be paid in U.S. dollars and drawn on a U.S. Bank.

Credit Card: VISA Am. Exp. MasterCard

Card Number _____

Expiration Date _____

Signature: _____

Please send your order form and purchase order or prepayment made payable to:

Cadmus Reprints

P.O. Box 822942

Philadelphia, PA 19182-2942

Note: Do not send express packages to this location, PO Box.
FEIN #: 540157890

Invoice or Credit Card Information

Invoice Address Please Print Clearly

Please complete Invoice address as it appears on credit card statement

Name _____

Institution _____

Department _____

Street _____

City _____ State _____ Zip _____

Country _____

Phone _____ Fax _____

E-mail Address _____

Purchase Order No. _____

Cadmus will process credit cards and Cadmus Journal Services will appear on the credit card statement.

If you do not mail your order form, you may fax it to 877-705-1373 with your credit card information.

Signature _____ Date _____

Signature is required. By signing this form, the author agrees to accept the responsibility for the payment of reprints and/or all charges described in this document.

Journal of the American Academy of Psychiatry & the Law 2012

Black and White Reprint Prices

Domestic (USA only)					
# of Pages	100	200	300	400	500
1-4	\$129	\$142	\$152	\$161	\$171
5-8	\$213	\$231	\$251	\$273	\$293
9-12	\$286	\$318	\$351	\$385	\$421
13-16	\$358	\$403	\$447	\$494	\$538
17-20	\$435	\$488	\$551	\$605	\$661

International (includes Canada and Mexico)					
# of Pages	100	200	300	400	500
1-4	\$159	\$188	\$223	\$252	\$287
5-8	\$258	\$321	\$380	\$444	\$505
9-12	\$357	\$448	\$545	\$633	\$732
13-16	\$450	\$574	\$697	\$823	\$946
17-20	\$550	\$700	\$862	\$1,012	\$1,164

Minimum order is 100 copies. For orders larger than 500 copies, please consult Cadmus Reprints at 410-943-0629.

Shipping

Shipping costs are included in the reprint prices. Domestic orders are shipped via FedEx Ground service. Foreign orders are shipped via a proof of delivery air service. The shipping address printed on an institutional purchase order always supercedes.

Multiple Shipments

Orders can be shipped to more than one location. Please be aware that it will cost \$32 for each additional location.

Delivery

Your order will be shipped within 2 weeks of the journal print date. Allow extra time for delivery.

Late Order Charges

Articles more than 90 days from publication date will carry an additional charge of \$6.10 per page for file retrieval.

Color Reprint Prices

Domestic (USA only)					
# of Pages	100	200	300	400	500
1-4	\$225	\$365	\$504	\$644	\$783
5-8	\$365	\$644	\$924	\$1,205	\$1,486
9-12	\$504	\$924	\$1,347	\$1,769	\$2,194
13-16	\$642	\$1,205	\$1,767	\$2,333	\$2,895
17-20	\$783	\$1,485	\$2,194	\$2,895	\$3,184

International (includes Canada and Mexico)					
# of Pages	100	200	300	400	500
1-4	\$255	\$411	\$575	\$734	\$898
5-8	\$411	\$734	\$1,054	\$1,375	\$1,697
9-12	\$575	\$1,054	\$1,541	\$2,017	\$2,506
13-16	\$734	\$1,375	\$2,017	\$2,661	\$3,303
17-20	\$898	\$1,697	\$2,505	\$3,303	\$3,686

Tax Due

Residents of Virginia, Maryland, Pennsylvania, and the District of Columbia are required to add the appropriate sales tax to each reprint order. For orders shipped to Canada, please add 5% Canadian GST unless exemption is claimed.

Ordering

Prepayment or a signed institutional purchase order is required to process your order. Please reference journal name and reprint number or manuscript number on your purchase order or other correspondence. You may use the reverse side of this form as a proforma invoice. Please return your order form and purchase order or prepayment to:

Cadmus Reprints

P.O. Box 822942
Philadelphia, PA 19182-2942

Note: Do not send express packages to this location, PO Box. FEIN #: 540157890

Please direct all inquiries to:

June Billman
866-487-5625 (toll free number)
410-943-3086 (direct number)
877-705-1373 (FAX number)
june.billman@cenveo.com

Reprint Order Forms and Purchase Orders or prepayments must be received 72 hours after receipt of form.

Please return this form even if no reprints are ordered.

Commentary: Stalking by Patients—Psychiatrists’ Tales of Anger, Lust and Ignorance

Michele T. Pathé, MD, and J. Reid Meloy, PhD

Stalking is a thriving social and criminal concern and a risk inherent in our personal and professional lives. Health care professionals, particularly psychiatrists and other mental health practitioners, are vulnerable to being stalked by their patients and, far from providing helpful insights that discourage the behavior, their training can be a hindrance. Neither a psychiatrist’s gender nor seniority confers protection from the protracted vengeance or infatuation of a patient-turned-stalker, any more than does working through the transference and soldiering on. The ensuing social, psychological, and vocational damage can, however, be minimized through early recognition, informed advice, and the support, not censure, of our colleagues.

J Am Acad Psychiatry Law 41:000–000, 2013

Being stalked is an all too common experience. Between 17 and 30 percent of women and 4 and 12 percent of men in Western nations report being stalked at some time in their lives, the estimates varying according to the methodology and definitions employed.^{1–3} There is evidence that the incidence of these behaviors is increasing,¹ which may be related to greater instability in intimate relationships, increased social complexity and isolation, our contemporary culture of complaint, and the technologies that compromise our privacy.⁴ Stalking refers to the repeated infliction on another of unwanted communications (e.g., through letters, telephone calls, e-mail, and social networking websites), unwanted contacts (e.g., following and approaching), and a myriad of other harassing behaviors (e.g., malicious complaints, threats, and assaults), in a manner that causes reasonable fear and distress.³ Stalking behaviors can be divided into brief intense periods of harassment and episodes that persist beyond two weeks. The brief intrusions, though unsettling, are more commonplace and innocuous, but episodes that extend beyond two weeks appear to be more ominous,

as they are likely to persist for months and are more damaging to the victim.⁵

Some occupational groups have a greater vulnerability to being stalked, including public figures^{6–11} and health care professionals, particularly in the mental health sector.^{12–32} There is a burgeoning literature in relation to public figure fixation and stalking, but we are only beginning to appreciate the nature and extent of problems experienced by other high-risk groups. In their article, Maclean and colleagues³³ have made a further valuable contribution to our understanding of the problem within our own profession and how we are dealing with it.

Patients who stalk health care practitioners are not a new challenge, and numerous studies have highlighted the frequency of threats, violence, and sexual harassment experienced by clinicians.^{15,23,34–45} Case reports of patients stalking psychiatrists^{46–48} underscored the vulnerability of our profession to the abnormal attachments of patients and the distress and disturbance this causes. In a case series compiled by Lion and Herschler,¹⁵ comprising a psychologist, a plastic surgeon, and seven psychiatrists, one of the health professionals ultimately strangled the patient who stalked him.

Studies derived from convenience samples of mental health practitioners^{13,14,17,22–26} have delivered further sobering insights. Among clinicians surveyed in one U.S. inpatient psychiatric facility, more

Dr. Pathé is Senior Forensic Psychiatrist, Queensland Forensic Mental Health, Service, and Adjunct Professor, Griffith University, Brisbane, Queensland, Australia. Dr. Meloy is in private practice in La Jolla, CA. Address correspondence to: J. Reid Meloy, PhD, 334 Westbourne Street, La Jolla, CA 92037. E-mail: reidmeloy@gmail.com.

Disclosures of financial or other potential conflicts of interest: None.

than half the 62 respondents reported experiencing threatening, harassing, and stalking behaviors during their careers¹⁷; more than 20 percent of the 198 psychiatrist respondents sampled in a large U.K. mental health organization had been stalked by their patients²² for up to 16 years. These studies have consistently demonstrated the vulnerability of health care workers to stalking by their patients and the detrimental impact of these experiences on the victims' well-being.

Studies based on random samples of large populations of health professionals have revealed more detailed information, but their generalizability is hindered by low response rates, reliance on victims' reports, and inconsistent thresholds for stalking. For example, some studies employed a strict operational definition of stalking,^{21,31,49} whereas others used less rigorous, legally based criteria.³⁰ A large-scale survey by Whyte et al.³¹ included stalking in the subject's personal life and stalking by colleagues, and most random studies included stalking by the patient's partner or relatives.^{21,30,31} These studies have been conducted on samples of psychiatrists, other medical practitioners, psychologists, nurses and social workers, and heterogeneous samples of mental health workers.^{18,20,21,27,30,31} The career prevalence of stalking by patients in these samples ranged from 4 percent to almost 20 percent.^{20,21,29} The highest victimization rates have been recorded in the mental health professions, especially among psychologists and psychiatrists, and those involved in direct patient care. Prevalence in the surgical specialties approaches that of psychiatrists.^{27,30} Certain mental health and medical subspecialties may be less vulnerable by virtue of their patient profiles, or their limited patient contact (e.g., neuropsychology and organizational psychology,²¹ psychogeriatrics,³¹ nuclear medicine, pediatrics, emergency medicine, and internal medicine.³⁰) Stalking by the patient's relatives has been reported in over 10 percent of cases.²¹ The duration of stalking in these studies ranged from two weeks to more than 10 years.^{21,30} For over half the psychiatrists reported by Whyte et al.,³¹ stalking persisted for more than a year.

In these studies, the predominant motives for stalking reported by health professionals were anger or resentment and infatuation.^{21,26,30,31} Whereas Abrams et al.³⁰ a random survey of Canadian physicians found no particular relationship between stalking motive and specialty, in a survey of Australia-

lian psychologists,²¹ all clients who stalked forensic psychologists were resentful, while 42 percent of clients who pursued clinical psychologists were thought to have amorous motives. The psychiatrists described by Whyte et al.³¹ reported similar proportions of resentful and amorous motives. The main forms of harassment reported by health practitioners across all studies were repeated telephone calls, unwanted approaches, loitering, correspondence and e-mail, property damage, and unsolicited gifts.^{18,21,26,30,31} More than a third of health professionals surveyed in some studies were subject to threats to harm them or other parties, including family members. Physical and sexual assaults were not rare.^{21,30,31} These studies also show that vexatious complaints, including malicious reports to professional registration boards and regulatory bodies, are more likely to be experienced by health care practitioners than the wider community.^{21,31}

In one case, a psychiatrist's secretary, following his rebuff of her amorous attentions and termination, stole the psychiatrist's identity and began sending derogatory and threatening e-mails to his colleagues and other physicians he knew. She also sent e-mails to her own accounts under his name to stage a case of stalking. The case escalated to the point of criminal charges being contemplated against him and the licensing board in his state requiring a psychiatric examination of him to continue practicing. Both law enforcement and other regulatory professionals refused to believe that his secretary had the technical proficiency to do these things. She did. Eventually through a detailed Internet and computer forensic investigation, she was identified as the perpetrator and was arrested and criminally charged.

There are no specific traits, however, that would differentiate potential stalkers from other patients in the extant research. The perpetrators in these studies were more likely to be single, unemployed outpatients with a diagnosis of psychosis or personality disorder. Female stalkers were over-represented relative to stalkers in the general population.⁵⁰⁻⁵⁴ Typically, the victims were relatively experienced clinicians, and, again in contrast to the general population, male and female health professionals shared a similar risk of victimization by patients. While Purcell and colleagues²¹ found that on average stalking commenced within six months of establishing the professional relationship, the length of treatment before the onset of stalking varied widely. Some eight percent of psychologists in their survey reported protracted stalking after the first therapeutic contact.

AQ: 3

AQ: 4

Commentary

Most studies of stalking and harassment in health care workers have focused on mental health populations, both public and private, inpatient and outpatient in civil and forensic settings. This research correctly assumes that psychiatrists and other mental health professionals are particularly vulnerable to the unwanted intrusions of their patients. This exposure is not surprising, given the nature of the therapeutic relationship and our greater likelihood than other disciplines, and indeed most of the general population, of encountering seriously mentally disordered and unstable individuals with a greater propensity to engage in this behavior. The evidence suggests that one of the commonest motivations for a patient-turned-stalker is intense infatuation. Typically, a lonely and disordered patient misinterprets the therapist's sympathy and attention as romantic love. Such stalkers are categorized as intimacy seekers in the motivational typology of Mullen et al.^{55,56} In other patients, stalking and threats arise in the context of a perceived injury or injustice perpetrated by their therapists or the wider organization (commonly, an unfavorable report or compulsory treatment). These resentful stalkers^{55,56} may also be over-represented in the stalkers of our surgical colleagues. Therapists are also peculiarly susceptible to rejected stalking patterns. These more typically occur in the context of the breakdown of a sexually intimate relationship, but can arise from the termination of a (usually) long-term therapeutic relationship.^{16,56} Patients turned stalkers may simply be in some cases socially inept or intellectually disabled individuals who pursue their therapist for relatively short periods in the unrealistic pursuit of a date or friendship (incompetent suitors).^{55,56}

Unfortunately, the stalking of mental health professionals by their patients tends to be characterized by protracted periods of intrusive behavior lasting months or years, rather than brief bursts of harassment. More extended episodes are associated with patients who are deluded and female,^{21,30} again not a unique group in psychiatric practice. Anger in relation to perceived mistreatment has been found in some samples to generate more transient bouts of intense harassment,³⁰ but resentful stalkers can be quite persistent.⁵⁷ The more protracted stalking patterns observed in populations of health professional victims is of concern, given that the most robust predictor of stalking morbidity is the duration of the stalking episode.⁵⁸

There has been a stronger emphasis in the literature to date on the social and physical impact of stalking on mental health professionals, perhaps reflecting the reluctance of our colleagues to acknowledge psychological distress and impairment in research of this type, or, as Sandberg and colleagues¹⁴ suggest, "denial and minimization are common reactions to being the target of patients' aggressive behavior" (Ref. 14, p 1103). We do know that stalking is a destructive behavior with the potential to devastate the professional and personal lives of mental health practitioners, the patient-turned-stalker, and other parties. These studies have highlighted the anxiety, depression, anger, helplessness, guilt, and self-doubt experienced by the victims, as well as disillusionment with their profession.^{16,21,28,31} They report maladaptive coping mechanisms such as alcohol, tobacco, and other substance abuse.^{31,59} Many have felt compelled to modify their lifestyles, including moving home and bolstering security. Practice changes are frequently reported, such as altering one's treatment style, referral restrictions, security upgrades, and practice relocation. The impact of malicious complaints to professional registration boards and other agents of accountability can be particularly harmful, as these complaints tend to be taken at face value, and the status given to such allegations can reward and reinforce the stalker's efforts. For the stalked health professional, the complaint process can be experienced as a further form of harassment.^{28,59} Indeed, it has been found that stalked clinicians who experience vexatious complaints are more likely to contemplate or actually leave their professions.²¹ Random studies probably underestimate the proportion who ultimately abandon their careers as a consequence of stalking, as these samples are drawn from professional registers of current members.^{21,31}

In studies of stalked health professionals, most victims sought advice and assistance from several sources, with various outcomes. Whereas some respondents found these avenues helpful,^{13,17,21,24,25,31,33} others described a litany of abuses, including implied or overt criticism from other professionals, dismissive attitudes from law enforcement, and skepticism from supervisors, employers, and professional organizations.^{16,29,31,33} The lack of assertive police intervention may relate to a general reluctance to prosecute the mentally ill⁶⁰ or a tendency to view stalking in the context of prior

relationships as somehow of less concern. It could also reflect a wider view that this is par for the course in mental health circles and that we have the skills to deal with it.

In their illuminating article, Maclean et al,³³ provide a more detailed analysis of the experiences of a large sample of U.K. psychiatrists. This study is derived from an earlier questionnaire survey of members of the U.K. Royal College of Psychiatrists. The survey was hindered by a low response rate (under 25%), but 11 percent of the 2,585 respondents reported experiencing harassing behavior that met stringent research criteria for stalking.¹ The stalker was a patient in 64 percent of these cases.³¹ The subsequent thematic analysis³³ provides further support for the negative emotional impact of these experiences on psychiatrists. This study also demonstrates persisting uncertainty in our own profession as to what constitutes stalking and the tendency of some psychiatrists and other agencies to assume it is an acceptable part of the job. Some psychiatrists may not have experienced the requisite fear included in the operational definition of stalking because their prior knowledge of, and perhaps sympathy for, their patient-turned-stalker fostered a false sense of security.²⁸ In this qualitative analysis, the level of professional support received had a mitigating effect on harmful impacts. Constructive advice and support were not readily forthcoming, however, as the individuals and agencies they approached shared similar prejudices (particularly, that psychiatrists should expect such intrusions). Stalking victims in general are unreasonably inclined to blame themselves for their predicament, and this is commonly reinforced by the ignorant or insensitive responses of those whom they approach for help. They blame themselves for their choice of partner, for their unassertiveness, for mishandling the separation, and a myriad of other actions or inactions.^{16,28} Given their presumed competence in managing disturbed behavior, mental health professionals are more prone to self-blame and self-reproach in these situations, and these unmerited appraisals can be sustained by the attitudes of some of our colleagues. It has long been recognized that patients may transfer feelings of love or hate to their therapist as a consequence of their early experiences and the treatment situation, a phenomenon known as transference.⁶¹ However, although it may be influenced by such processes, the emergence of feelings of love or betrayal in the treatment relationship

should not be summarily reduced to transference explanations.²⁸ This rationale for patients' misplaced attentions has unfortunately fostered a sense of responsibility and guilt in mental health professionals and the precarious assumption that the stalking can be effectively managed within the stalker-therapist relationship.¹⁶ Some mental health professionals also naively assume that their patients cannot become criminals or engage in criminal behavior.

The proliferation of stalking research over the past two decades has given rise to a better informed mental health workforce with the capacity to intervene effectively in stalking cases,⁶² yet it is evident that some psychiatrists continue to minimize and intellectualize stalking in a therapeutic context, placing themselves and their loved ones at risk of psychosocial and physical harm and compromising their careers. Many such cases are likely to go unreported. Despite the growing evidence base, advice from peers may be ill-informed and counterproductive. Although the research in this area has consistently found that experienced practitioners are equally or more vulnerable to the unwanted attentions of their patients and that stalking by patients is not the product of therapeutic incompetence, psychiatrists continue to contend with the unhelpful or even critical attitudes of their colleagues and employers. Traditional portrayals of clinician-patient liaisons usually emphasize the clinician's exploitation of the patient and an imbalance of power that precludes the patient's victimizing the clinician,⁴² a view that to some extent prevails among our peers and professional registration boards. The failure to recognize that some patients' complaints are malicious and a manifestation of stalking amplifies victims' suffering and, for some disenchanted professionals, it can end careers. AQ: 6

Another female patient accused her psychiatrist of having sexual relations with her in his office during their psychotherapy sessions. The psychiatrist was mounting a vigorous defense until the patient brought to the local police dried semen from the psychiatrist that she stated had come from her underwear while having sex with him. Felony criminal charges were filed against the psychiatrist and his license was in the process of being suspended. A vigorous investigation eventually revealed that she had stalked the psychiatrist's home subsequent to the termination of treatment and had found several pair of his semen-stained underwear in his trash containers—he was on a weight loss program, and his wife was throwing away his excessively large underwear in their trash. When confronted by the police, the ex-patient admitted to stealing the underwear and scraping semen from it. The charges against the psychiatrist were dropped.

Commentary

For stalking victims, including health care professionals, there is now a wealth of specific information and intervention guidelines.^{16,17,28,63,65} As Maclean et al.³³ note, the U.K. Royal College of Psychiatrists has commendably established the Psychiatrists' Support Service to assist victimized psychiatrists.⁶⁶ There is often reluctance on the part of stalked clinicians to pursue legal remedies, at least in cases that do not involve physical injury. Many clinicians believe they should have a high tolerance for the criminal behavior of patients, but such clemency may not be in the best interests of the professional, his family, and the practice staff, or indeed in the best long-term interests of the patient.²⁸

Since would-be stalkers have no specific distinguishing features, stalking is not entirely avoidable in therapeutic settings, unless of course one stops seeing patients.²⁸ Yet, health care professionals can reduce the risks and minimize damage by taking immediate steps to protect their privacy by removing as much personal information as possible from the public domain and minimizing the use of social networking sites. They should never ignore the emergence of stalking behaviors in their patients, keep good records, and, as a priority, seek advice. It is often appropriate to alert other parties, including staff and family members, as their support is crucial, and ignorance places them at risk.¹⁶ In certain situations, a waiver of privilege may hold wherein the psychiatrist can report the patient to the police if he or she poses a serious threat of violence toward the psychiatrist, a Tarasoff situation in which the psychiatrist is also warning himself. Jurisdictional differences will apply in this context, and psychiatrists are urged to consult carefully with an attorney before such privilege is waived.

Our training does not exempt us from stress reactions or maladaptive coping mechanisms, and rather than discounting or reframing one's distress or resorting to self-therapy, it is reasonable to seek treatment. Our profession, law enforcement agencies, and regulatory bodies continue to have urgent educational and training needs in the area of stalking, particularly in understanding its manifestations, harmful impacts, and optimal interventions. Repeated intrusions, intimidation, and personal upheaval are not part of the job, and colleagues who have the misfortune to experience them deserve our sympathy and support, not our criticism. We have made major advances in our understanding of stalk-

ing, which stem predominantly from the efforts of mental health researchers. Victims of stalking should no longer have to feel forsaken, particularly in a profession such as ours.

References

1. Purcell R, Pathé M, Mullen PE: The prevalence and nature of stalking in the Australian community. *Aust N Z J Psychiatry* 36:114–20, 2002
2. Strieger S, Burger C, Scild A: Lifetime prevalence and impact of stalking: epidemiological data from eastern Austria. *Eur J Psychiatry* 22:235–41, 2008
3. McEwan T, Pathé M: Stalking. *Encyclopedia of Criminology and Criminal Justice*. Sage Press
4. Mullen PE, Pathé M, Purcell R: Stalking: new constructions of human behaviour. *Aust N Z J Psychiatry* 35:9–16, 2001
5. Purcell R, Pathé M, Mullen PE: When do repeated intrusions become stalking? Editorial. *J Forensic Psychiatry Psychol* 15:571–83, 2004
6. Meloy JR, James DV, Farnham FR, et al: A research review of public figure threats, approaches, attacks, and assassinations in the United States. *J Forensic Sci* 49:1086–93, 2004
7. Mullen PE, James DV, Pathé M, et al: The fixated and the pursuit of public figures. *J Forensic Psychiatry Psychol* 20:33–47, 2009
8. James DV, Mullen PE, Pathé M, et al: Stalkers and harassers of royalty: the role of mental illness and motivation. *Psychol Med* 39:1479–90, 2009
9. van der Meer BB, Bootsma L, Meloy JR: Disturbing communications and problematic approaches to the Dutch Royal Family. *J Forensic Psychiatry Psychol* 23:571–89, 2012
10. Meloy JR, Mohandie K, McGowan MG: A forensic investigation of those who stalk celebrities, in *Stalking, Threatening, and Attacking Public Figures: A Psychological and Behavioral Analysis*. Edited by Meloy JR, Sheridan L, Hoffmann J. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2008, pp 37–54
11. Adams SJ, Hazelwood TE, Pitre NL, et al: Harassment of Members of Parliament and the Legislative Assemblies in Canada by individuals believed to be mentally disordered. *J Forensic Psychiatry Psychol* 20:801–14, 2009
12. Pathé M, Mullen PE: Medical victims of pathological love: the Hippocratic curse? *Med J Aust* 59:632, 1993
13. Romans J, Hays J, White T: Stalking and related behaviors experienced by counseling center staff members from current or former clients. *Profess Psychol Res Pract* 27:595–9, 1996
14. Sandberg DA, McNeil DE, Binder RL: Characteristics of psychiatric inpatients who stalk, threaten, or harass hospital staff after discharge. *Am J Psychiatry* 155:1102–5, 1998
15. Lion JR, Herschler JA: The stalking of clinicians by their patients, in *The Psychology of Stalking: Clinical and Forensic Perspectives*. Edited by Meloy JR. San Diego, CA: Academic Press, 1998, pp 163–73
16. Pathé M, Mullen PE: Patients who stalk doctors: their motives and management. *Med J Aust* 176:335–8, 2002
17. Sandberg DA, McNeil DE, Binder RL: Stalking, threatening, and harassing behavior by psychiatric patients towards clinicians. *J Am Acad Psychiatry Law* 30:221–9, 2002
18. Gentile SR, Asamen JK, Harmell PH, et al: The stalking of psychologists by their clients. *Profess Psychol Res Pract* 33:490–4, 2002
19. Meloy JR: Stalking, threatening, and harassing behavior by patients: the risk management response. *J Am Acad Psychiatry Law* 30:230–1, 2002

AQ: 7


Pathé and Meloy


- AQ: 8
20. McKenna BG, Smith NA, Poole SJ, et al: A survey of threats and violent behaviour by patients against registered nurses in their first year of practice. *Int J Ment Health Nurs* 12:56–60, 2003
 21. Purcell R, Powell MB, Mullen PE: Clients who stalk psychologists: prevalence, methods and motives. *Prof Psychol Res Pract* 36:537–43, 2005
 22. McIvor RJ, Potter L, Davies L: Stalking behaviour by patients towards psychiatrists in a large mental health organization. *Int J Soc Psychiatry* 54:350–7, 2008
 23. Miedema B, Easley J, Fortin P, et al: Disrespect, harassment, and abuse: all in a day's work for family physicians. *Can Fam Physician*, 55:279–85, 2009
 24. Hughes FA, Thom K, Dixon R: Nature and prevalence of stalking among New Zealand mental health clinicians. *J Psychosoc Nurs Ment Health Serv* 45:32–9, 2007
 25. Ashmore R, Jones J, Jackson A, et al: A survey of mental health nurses' experiences of stalking. *J Psychiatr Ment Health Nurs* 13:562–9, 2006
 26. Galeazzi GM, Elkins K, Curci P: Emergency psychiatry: the stalking of mental health professionals by patients. *Psychiatr Serv* 56:137–8, 2005
 27. Allnutt S, Samuels A, Taylor G: The harassment and stalking of plastic surgeons by their patients in Australasia. *Aust N Z J Surg* 79:533–6, 2009
 28. Mullen PE, Purcell R: Stalking of therapists, in *Severe Personality Disorders: Everyday Issues in Clinical Practice*. Edited by van Luyn B, Akhtar S, Livesley J. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, 2007, pp 196–210
 29. McIvor RJ, Petch E: Stalking of mental health professionals: an under-recognised problem. *Br J Psychiatry* 188:403–4, 2006
 30. Abrams KM, Robinson GE: Stalking by patients: doctors' experiences in a Canadian urban area. *J Nerv Ment Dis* 199:738–43, 2011
 31. Whyte S, Penny C, Christopherson S, et al: The stalking of psychiatrists. *Int J Forensic Ment Health* 10:254–60, 2011
 32. Regehr C, Glancy G: When social workers are stalked: risks, strategies, and legal protections. *Clin Soc Work J* 39:232–42, 2011
 33. Maclean L, Reiss D, Whyte S, et al: Psychiatrist's experience of being stalked: a qualitative analysis. *J Am Acad Psychiatry Law* 41:000–000, 2013
 34. Bernstein HA: Survey of threats and assaults directed toward psychotherapists. *Am J Psychother* 35:542–9, 1981
 35. Tyron GS: Abuse of therapists by patients: a national survey. *Prof Psychol Res Pract* 17:357–63, 1986
 36. Miller RD: The harassment of forensic psychiatrists outside of court. *Bull Am Acad Psychiatry Law* 13:337–43, 1985
 37. Guy JB, Brown K, Poelstra P: Who gets attacked?—a national survey of patient violence directed at psychologists in clinical practice. *Prof Psychol Res Pract* 21:493–5, 1990
 38. Wagner R: Nearly half of all psychiatrists assaulted during their careers. *Psychiatr Times* 7:1:16, 1990
 39. Richman JA, Flaherty JA, Rospenda KM, et al: Mental health consequences and correlates of reported medical student abuse. *JAMA* 267:692–4, 1992
 40. Pope KS, Tabachnick BG: Therapists' anger, hate, fear, and sexual feelings: national survey of therapist responses, client characteristics, critical events, formal complaints, and training. *Prof Psychol Res Pract* 24:142–5, 1993
 41. Dubin WR, Ning A: Violence toward mental health professionals, in *Textbook of Violence Assessment and Management*. Edited by Simon RI, Tardiff K. Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Publishing, 1993, pp 461–82
 42. Phillips SP, Schneider MS: Sexual harassment of female doctors by patients. *N Engl J Med* 329:1936–9, 1993
 43. Brown GP, Dubin WR, Lion JR, et al: Threats against clinicians: a preliminary descriptive classification. *Bull Am Acad Psychiatry Law* 24:367–76, 1996
 44. Magin PJ, Joy E, Ireland MC, et al: Experiences of occupational violence in Australian urban general practice: a cross-sectional study of GPs. *Med J Aust* 183:352–6, 2005
 45. Flannery RB: Precipitants to psychiatric patient assaults on staff: review of the empirical findings, 1990–2003, and risk management implications. *Psychiatr Q* 76:317–26, 2005
 46. Orion D: *I Know You Really Love Me: A Psychiatrist's Journal of Erotomania, Stalking, and Obsessive Love*. New York: Macmillan, 1997
 47. Borski I, Kamleiter M, Nedopil N: Psychiatrists as victims of stalking. *Nervenarzt* 76:331–4, 2005
 48. U B Dead. Available at http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/U_B_Dead. Accessed February 16, 2013
 49. Purcell R, Pathé M, Mullen PE: When do repeated intrusions become stalking? *J Forensic Psychiatry Psychol* 15:571–83, 2004
 50. Tjaden P, Thoennes N: *Stalking in America: Findings from the National Violence against Women Survey*. Washington, DC: National Institute of Justice and Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 1998
 51. Budd T, Mattinson J: *Stalking: findings from the 1998 British Crime Survey*. London: Home Office Research Development and Statistics Directorate, Research Findings No. 129, 2000
 52. Basile KC, Swahn MH, Chen J, et al: Stalking in the United States: recent national prevalence estimates. *Am J Prevent Med* 31:172–5, 2006
 53. Purcell R, Pathé M, Mullen PE: A study of women who stalk. *Am J Psychiatry* 158:2056–60, 2001
 54. Meloy JR, Mohandie K, Green M: The female stalker. *Behav Sci Law* 29:240–54, 2011
 55. Mullen PE, Pathé M, Purcell R, et al: A study of stalkers. *Am J Psychiatry* 156:1244–9, 1999
 56. Mullen PE, Pathé M, Purcell R: *Stalkers and their Victims* (ed 2). Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, 2009
 57. McEwan T, Mullen PE, MacKenzie R: A study of predictors of persistence in stalking situations. *Law Hum Behav* 33:149–58, 2009
 58. Purcell R, Pathé M, Mullen PE: Association between stalking victimisation and psychiatric morbidity in a random community sample. *Br J Psychiatry* 187:416–20, 2005
 59. Pathé M, Mullen PE: The impact of stalkers on their victims. *Br J Psychiatry* 170:12–17, 1997
 60. Pathé M, Mullen PE, MacKenzie R: Stalking by law: damaging victims and rewarding offenders. *J Law Med* 12:103–11, 2004
 61. Freud S: Further recommendations in the technique of psychoanalysis: observations on transference-love, in *Freud: Therapy and Technique*. Edited by Rieff P. New York: Collier Books, 1963, p 167
 62. MacKenzie RD, James DV: Management and treatment of stalkers: problems, options, and solutions. *Behav Sci Law* 29:220–39, 2011
 63. Large M, Johnston P: Managing the infatuated patient. *United Medical Protection Journal* 1:10–12, 2000
 64. Pathé M: *Surviving Stalking*. UK: Cambridge University Press, 2002
 65. Meloy JR: The clinical risk management of stalking. *Am J Psychother* 51:174–84, 1997
 66. Penny C, Whyte S: Trust services for psychiatrists victimised by stalkers (letter). *Psychiatr Bull* 33:155, 2009
- AQ: 9
- AQ: 10

AUTHOR QUERIES


AUTHOR PLEASE ANSWER ALL QUERIES


1

AQ1: Please check the title page footnote for accuracy. 

AQ2: Journal avoids the use of and/or 

AQ3: Journal doesn't use italics for emphasis.

AQ4: Please provide the reference source for this case history.  a direct quotation?

AQ5: The meaning of 'moving home' is vague. Returning to their family's residence or changing the location of their residence? 

AQ6: Please provide the reference citation for this case history.

AQ7: Ref. 3: Please provide publication information if n 

AQ8: Ref. 20: Please provide the year of publication.

AQ9: Ref. 33: Page numbers will be added at revises.

AQ10: Ref 48. The Wikipedia message in response to this URL is that there is no article by this name. Please confirm and correct the URL.